1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP David Boies (admitted pro hac vice) 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 Tel.: (914) 749-8200 dboies@bsfllp.com  Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel.: (415) 293-6800 mmao@bsfllp.com brichardson@bsfllp.com James Lee (admitted pro hac vice)	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. Bill Carmody (admitted pro hac vice) Shawn J. Rabin (admitted pro hac vice) Steven M. Shepard (admitted pro hac vice) Alexander Frawley (admitted pro hac vice) Ryan Sila (admitted pro hac vice) One Manhattan West, 50th Floor New York, NY 10001 Tel.: (212) 336-8330 bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com srabin@susmangodfrey.com sshepard@susmangodfrey.com afrawley@susmangodfrey.com rsila@susmangodfrey.com
	Rossana Baeza (admitted pro hac vice)	Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
10 11	100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor Miami, FL 33131 Tel.: (305) 539-8400	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 789-3100 abonn@susmangodfrey.com
12	jlee@bsfllp.com rbaeza@bsfllp.com	MORGAN & MORGAN
13	Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334	John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice)
14	M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520	Ryan J. McGee (admitted pro hac vice) Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
15	Los Angeles, CA 90067	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602
16	Tel.: (213) 995-5720 alanderson@bsfllp.com	Tel.: (813) 223-5505 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
17	mwright@bsfllp.com	rmcgee@forthepeople.com
18		mram@forthepeople.com
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, SAL CATALDO, JULIAN	Case No.: 3:20-cv-04688-RS
22	SANTIAGO, and SUSAN LYNN HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION TO
23	others similarly situated,	DIRECT NOTICE TO MEMBERS OF THE CERTIFIED CLASSES
24	Plaintiffs,	
25	VS.	The Honorable Richard Seeborg Courtroom 3 – 17th Floor
26	GOOGLE LLC,	Date: June 6, 2024 Time: 1:30 p.m.
27	Defendant.	
28		

On January 3, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, certifying
two classes under Rule 23(b)(3) and Rule 23(b)(2), each to pursue three claims against Google.
Dkt. 352. On March 7, 2024, Plaintiffs submitted for consideration a proposed class notice
program ("the Class Notice Plan"). Dkt. 368. On April 5, 2024, the Court modified the certified
Classes. Dkt. 384. On April 26, 2024, Plaintiffs renewed their motion for approval of the class
notice plan as modified to conform to the Court's April 5 Order (the "Motion" and the "Revised
Class Notice Plan"). For the reasons stated herein, Plaintiffs' Motion for approval of the Revised
Class Notice Plan is <b>GRANTED</b> .

The Revised Class Notice Plan complies with Rule 23 and due process and shall be executed consistent with Plaintiffs' Motion and documents supported in support thereof.

Plaintiffs' proposed Class Notice Administrator is appointed to administer the Revised Class Notice Plan, who shall be vested with all duties and responsibilities to effectuate the Revised Class Notice Plan.

The Revised Class Notice Plan will shall proceed on the schedule as previously ordered by the Court. Dkt. 367. The Notice Period will begin 30 days after the date of this Order. The Notice Period will last for 45 days. The opt-out deadline is 60 days after the conclusion of the Notice Period. No later than 30 days after the opt-out deadline, Plaintiffs will report opt-out information to the Court.

The Court has also reviewed Plaintiffs' proposed class period, as agreed to by Google, and determined that the class period should be defined as starting on July 1, 2016 and continue through the date that the notice program begins (the "Class Period"). See, e.g., In re Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Wage and Hour Litig., 2008 WL 1990806, at \*6 (N.D. Cal. May 5, 2008).

Finally, any class member who fails to opt out within the period specified in the notice will be deemed a member of the class. Officers for Justice v. Civil Service Comm'n, 688 F.2d 615, 634-635 (9th Cir. 1982); Low v. Trump University, LLC, 881 F.3d 1111 (9th Cir. 2018). Class members must choose whether to remain in the class during the opt-out period. See Officers for Justice, 688 F.2d at 634–35 (rejecting argument that "due process requires that members of a Rule 23(b)(3) class be given a second chance to opt out"). If class members do not opt out within the period